

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the  
Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
JAMES LAMAR VAUGHN	)	Case No: <u>DNCW309CR000039-007</u>
	)	USM No: <u>23301-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: <u>August 26, 2010</u>	)	
Date of Last Amended Judgment: _____	)	<u>Steven T. Meier</u>
	)	Defendant's Attorney

## Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_

### I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: <u>35</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>33</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>II</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>II</u>
Original Guideline Range: <u>188-235 months</u>	Amended Guideline Range: <u>151-188 months</u>

### II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.
- ☒ Other (explain): Defendant is ineligible for a reduction on Count One as he has already satisfied his sentence on that count. He is presently serving a 60 month consecutive term on Count Thirteen.

### III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated August 26, 2010 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: April 11, 2012

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

  
Frank D. Whitney  
United States District Judge

